

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,134	12/27/2000	Gilbert Neiger	042392.P9770	8719
59796 7590 07/05/2007 INTEL CORPORATION c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			EXAMINER	
			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	-	•	07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/752,134	NEIGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Pyzocha ,	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed The mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ag	oril 2007.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 31-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 9 and 31-40 is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
•	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/752,134 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9 and 31-40 are pending.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/23/2007 has been entered.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP \$ 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 39 and 40 recite a "machine-readable medium" this phrase lacks the antecedent basis in the specification. It is suggested that these claims be amended to recite a "computer readable storage medium" as described on page 5 in lines 9-20 of the specification, to avoid any rejections under 35 USC 112 or 101.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,134

Art Unit: 2137

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 9 and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson, U.S. Patent No. 5,522,075.

As per claims 9, 33, 36, and 39, Robinson discloses running guest software in a processor mode that enables the guest software to operate at a privilege level intended by the guest software (Col 14, lines 12-15); identifying an attempt of the guest software to perform an operation restricted by said processor mode (Col 12, lines 50-53); determining that the attempt of the guest software would fail if the guest software was running outside said processor mode (Col 12, lines 20-60); allowing the guest software to attempt the operation in response to determining that the attempt would fail (Col 12, lines 20-60); and transferring control over the operation to an operating system running within said processor mode in response to the guest software attempting the operation (see column 12 lines 20-60 and column 7 lines 5-42).

Application/Control Number: 09/752,134

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 31, 34, 37, and 40, Robinson discloses determining that the attempt of the guest software would fail includes determining that the guest software is running with insufficient privilege to perform the operation (Col 12, lines 20-60).

As per claims 32, 35, and 38, Robinson discloses exiting said processor mode to transfer control over the operation to a virtual machine monitor running outside said processor mode in response to determining an attempt would succeed (see column 12 lines 20-60).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/07/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Robinson fails to disclose, "transferring control over the operation to an operating system running within said processor mode in response to the guest software attempting the operation".

With respect to this argument Robinson teaches that instructions are performed in the VM mode or a VMM mode (see column 12 lines 20-60). Robinson further teaches that both the VM and VMM contain an operating system (see column 7 lines 5-42). Therefore, whenever an operation is performed in either

Page 5

mode it is controlled by an operating system. So in the situation when a privileged operation is attempted in the VM mode it transfers control to the operating system of the VMM. Therefore, Robinson discloses "transferring control over the operation to an operating system running within said processor mode in response to the guest software attempting the operation".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,134

Art Unit: 2137

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJP

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER